



CALIFORNIA LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

1987 CLCV CALIFORNIA LEGISLATIVE VOTING CHART

THE 1987 LEGISLATURE

This year's Legislative Voting Record contains the thirty-two bills, 8 amendments, and one veto override vote considered the most significant to the environmental community in this first half of the 1987-1988 legislative session.

Of the 32 bills considered this year, eight were anti-environmental bills that sidetracked the movement's own legislative agenda. Among the more infamous of these bills were two water diversion proposals authored by Assemblymember Jim Costa (D-30) and Senator Ruben Ayala (D-34). In addition to rating the original versions of these bills, we have also rated the four environmental protection and water conservation amendments to each bill. Two of the eight amendments were deleted by Ayala and Costa who employed a seldom used device known as "author's prerogative." Both bills are now on a two year track which means the fight will continue next year.

Of the six remaining anti-environmental bills rated in this chart, three were defeated, one was withdrawn by the author and the last two - a bill to increase development in the Bolsa Chica Wetlands authored by Senator Bergeson (R-37) and a bill to provide state financing for construction of the Auburn Dam authored by Assemblymember Norm Waters (D-7) - became 2-year bills.

While environmentalists succeeded in preventing all the significant anti-environmental bills

from reaching the Governor's desk, ten of the twenty-two good environmental bills did make it that far. The Governor signed six of the ten and vetoed the rest. The vetoed legislation included a bill that would have increased industry's contribution to the Acid Rain Research Deposition and Monitoring Program, and a bill that would have forced the Coastal Commission to consider the environmental and public health risks before approving ocean-based hazardous waste incineration projects.

The six good environmental bills that the Governor signed into law include bills that will improve the monitoring of toxic air pollution and the closing of toxic landfills. Environmentalists and allied groups were also initially successful in restoring funding for California's worker safety program (Cal OSHA). The funding was subsequently blue penciled by the Governor.

Eleven of the fourteen good environmental bills that did not reach the Governor's desk were put on a two year track which means we will have a lot of work to do in 1988.

Many thanks to the Sierra Club, the Planning and Conservation League, Californians Against Waste, Defenders of Wildlife and the American Lung Association for their assistance in compiling this chart.

SPEAK OUT

Contrary to public speculation, legislators rarely hear from their constituents. The most effective way to express your approval or disapproval of your representatives' performance is to communicate with them directly—by phone, by mailgram or by letter. Because so few people take time to contact their representatives, one letter is often counted as representing the opinion of 500 other voters.

During the legislative session, letters to your representatives can be sent c/o State Capitol, Sacramento, CA 95814. District office addresses and phone numbers are listed in the white pages of your phone book under "California, State of."

**SB 151 (Presley) -management**

Would reorganize and strengthen the South Coast Air Quality Management District's ability to control air pollution in Southern California.

SUPPORT; Signed into law.

AB 234 (Leonard) -automobiles

Would require automobile manufacturers to increase the production of low emission vehicles and large service stations to offer methanol for sale to the public. An amendment attached to the bill in the Senate Governmental Organizations Committee gutted the bill. Therefore, the vote recorded in this committee is the vote on the amendment and not the bill.

SUPPORT; Gutted in Senate Governmental Organizations Committee.

AB 1471 (Sher) -acid rain

Would provide a more secure funding base for the Acid Deposition Research and Monitoring Program by increasing industry's contribution to the fund.

SUPPORT; Vetoed by the Governor.

AB 2588 (Conelly) -toxic hot spots

Would provide for the compilation and assessment of information about public exposure to toxic air pollution.

SUPPORT; Signed into law.

AB 2595 (Sher) -clean air act

Would establish deadlines for attaining state air quality standards and provide a planning process for air pollution control measures.

SUPPORT; 2 year bill.

WETLANDS/ COASTAL**AB 1915 (Harris) -development**

Would allow a coastal developer to proceed with permitted development without simultaneously completing the required mitigations.

OPPOSE; Failed passage in Senate Natural Resources Committee

AB 2443 (Farr) -coastal commission

Would require the Commission to maintain offices in all present locations-- a reaction to the Governor's decision to close two more offices. The bill was changed to its current version after it reached the Senate. Therefore votes on the original assembly version of the bill are not recorded.

SUPPORT; Vetoed by the Governor.

AB 2445 (Sher) -wetlands protection

Would ensure appropriate compensation for any wetland habitat destroyed in the process of port expansion.

SUPPORT; 2-year bill currently awaiting hearing on the Assembly floor.

SB 267 (Dills) -wetlands destruction

Would establish significantly weaker environmental protection criteria by allowing ports to compensate for wetlands destruction at sites geographically distant from the habitat which was lost.

OPPOSE; 2-year bill currently awaiting hearing in Assembly Natural Resources Committee.

SB 1517 (Bergeson) -development

Would create a new local government entity under the control of the land owner/developer for the 1600- acre Bolsa Chica Wetlands in Orange County.

OPPOSE; 2-year bill awaiting hearing in the Assembly Resources Committee.

TOXICS/ PESTICIDES**AB 100 (Elder) -enforcement**

Would establish a training program to instruct district attorneys on how to prosecute violations of hazardous waste laws. Would also fund hazardous waste prosecution pilot projects.

SUPPORT; Vetoed by the Governor.

AB 639 (Killea) -bond act

Would place on the 1988 ballot a \$200 million bond measure to fund the coastal acquisition, restoration and access development programs of the Coastal Conservancy.

SUPPORT; 2-year bill

AB 930 (Brown) -border pollution

Border Pollution--Would place before the voters a bond act to help fund the clean up of sewage and toxics flowing across the Mexican border and into California.

SUPPORT; 2-year bill awaiting hearing in Senate Appropriations.

AB 2448 (Eastin) -state superfund

Would establish a state Superfund to mitigate the problems associated with closure and postclosure of solid waste landfills.

SUPPORT; Signed into law.

SB 256 (Rosenthal) -incineration

Would prevent the Coastal Commission from approving any ocean-based hazardous waste incineration projects unless the project meets strong public health and environmental standards.

SUPPORT; Vetoed by the Governor.

SB 714 (Roberti) -source reduction

Would require hazardous waste generators to prepare plans to reduce the amount of hazardous waste they produce.

SUPPORT; 2 year bill.

SB 970 (Doolittle) -apple maggots

Would create a complete exemption from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for apple maggot eradication programs.

OPPOSE; Failed in Senate Appropriations Committee.

WATER**AB 712 (Waters) -auburn dam**

Would authorize state financing for the Auburn Dam to be built on the American River.

OPPOSE; 2 year bill.

AB 1626 (Sher) -hydro projects

Would prohibit the State Water Resources Control Board from approving small hydroelectric projects that result in significant losses of fisheries and wild trout populations.

SUPPORT; 2 year bill.

AB 1710 (Costa) -water diversion

Authorizes the construction of a through-delta water facility without providing for adequate environmental protection or conservation programs.

OPPOSE; 2 year bill.

Brown Amendment -bay-delta protection

Would prevent construction of any delta facility that would harm or degrade the water quality or other beneficial uses of San Francisco Bay.

SUPPORT; Removed by Assemblymember Costa.

Isenberg/Bates Amendment -fish & wildlife

Would require the State Water Project to restore fish and wildlife to historical levels in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary.

SUPPORT; Isenberg amend. defeated in Assembly Ways and Means, Bates amend. defeated on Assembly Floor.

Hill Amendment -estuary protection

Would require the State Water Project to fully offset the damage it has caused to fish and wildlife in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary.

SUPPORT; Removed by Assemblymember Costa.

O'Connel/Katz Amend.-urban ratepayers

Would reduce water subsidies for San Joaquin agribusiness paid for by Southern California urban ratepayers.

SUPPORT; O'Connel amend. defeated in Assembly Ways and Means Committee, Katz amend. removed by Assemblymember Costa.

SB 32 (Ayala) -water transfer

Would require the state to construct a Through-Delta water export facility without protecting San Francisco Bay, the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, fisheries, or wildlife, and without requiring implementation of major cost-effective water conservation projects.

OPPOSED; 2 year bill awaiting hearing in Assembly Ways and Means Committee.

Keene Amendment -bay-delta protection

Would require the State Water Project to repair damage it has done to fish and wildlife in the San Francisco Bay-Delta.

SUPPORT; Defeated in Senate Appropriations.

McCorquodale Amendment -fish & wildlife

Would require the State Water Project to restore fish and wildlife in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary to historical levels as a condition to building the Through-Delta water project.

SUPPORT; Defeated in Senate Appropriations.

Petris Amendment -conservation

Would require the state water project to carry out cost effective water conservation programs to conserve 1 million acre-feet of water per year as project yield.

SUPPORT; Removed by Assemblymember Ayala.

Torres Amendment -water project analysis

Removes the mandate to construct a Through-Delta facility and instead requires the Dept. of Water Resources to prepare a report showing who will pay for the water projects and who will actually receive the benefits.

SUPPORT; Defeated in Senate Appropriations.

FISH AND WILDLIFE



SB 36 (Ayala) -fisheries

Would reduce the ability of state environmental agencies to protect fisheries in the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary.

OPPOSED; Withdrawn by the author.

SB 40 (Marks) -fishing nets

Would extend restrictions on entangling fishing nets, and encourage alternative fishing methods.

SUPPORT; Signed into law.

SB 4 (Presley) -bond act

Would place an \$85 million bond act on the June 1988 ballot for Wildlife Conservation Board endangered species and unique natural areas.

SUPPORT; 2 year bill.

SB 1687 (Petris) -mountain lions

Would prohibit the use of dogs during the trophy hunting of mountain lions.

SUPPORT; Failed in Assembly Water Parks and Wildlife Committee

OTHERS



AB 169 (Hannigan) -land use

Would improve Local Agency Formation Commission's land use decision-making process by, among other things, establishing standards for the conversion of undeveloped lands to urban uses.

SUPPORT; 2 year bill.

AB 612 (Sher) -recycling

Adds wine coolers to the list of beverage containers that can be redeemed under the state beverage container recycling law.

SUPPORT; Failed passage in Assembly Ways and Means Committee.

AB 772 (Harvey) -off highway vehicles

Would reauthorize the state's OHV program without land conservation and law enforcement improvements.

OPPOSE; Failed passage in Senate Natural Resources Committee.

AB 980 (Costa) -trains

Would authorize and fund a third daily Amtrak passenger train on the Bakersfield/Los Angeles to Oakland Corridor, and would extend train service to Sacramento.

SUPPORT; Placed in Senate Energy Committee suspense file.

AB 1489 (Friedman)- santa monica mtns.

Would prohibit any new landfills and the expansion of existing ones within the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area.

SUPPORT; Failed Passage in the Assembly Ways and Means Committee.

SB 877 (Garamendi) -off highway vehicles

Would enact several important land protection improvements in California's OHV recreation program.

SUPPORT; Signed into law.

SB 1641 (Keene) -timber harvesting

Would place limits on the amount of timber which could be harvested in a single watershed in a given year.

SUPPORT; 2-year bill currently awaiting hearing in Assembly Natural Resources Committee.

CAL OSHA FUNDING -worker safety

Would restore funding and continue the existence of California's worker safety program (CAL OSHA) which was gutted in the Governor's budget.

SUPPORT: Blue penciled by the Governor

1987 CALIFORNIA LEGISLATIVE VOTING RECORD

ASSEMBLY FLOOR

Overall Assembly Average: 62%

Assembly Democrats' Average: 87%

Assembly Republicans' Average: 39%

D I S T R I C T		6 / 2 / 5	6 / 2 / 2	6 / 2 / 5	6 / 2 / 5	6 / 2 / 5	6 / 2 / 2	(Amendments)	6 / 2 / 5	6 / 2 / 5	6 / 2 / 5	6 / 2 / 5	7 / 2 / 2	6 / 3	9 / 1 / 0	6 / 2 / 5	6 / 2 / 5	9 / 8	9 / 2	9 / 3	9 / 9	1987	1987	1986			
		A B	A B	A B	A B	A B	A B	A B	A B	A B	A B	A B	A B	A B	A B	A B	S B	S B	S B	S B	F L O O R	C O M M	F L O O R				
		100	234	639	772	930	980	1471	1626	1710	B A T E S	H I L L	K A T Z	B R O W N	1723	1915	2443	2448	2588	2595	40	151	256	877			
Agnos	16	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	95	-	100	Agnos		
Allen	71	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	n	-	n	Y	n	n	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	45	-	46	Allen		
Areias	25	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	n	n	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	75	-	83	Areias		
Bader	65	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	n	Y	y	n	n	n	Y	-	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	36	67	35	Bader		
Baker	15	n	n	n	Y	n	n	n	n	N	N	Y	Y	n	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	Y	n	Y	35	33	32	Baker
Bane	40	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	78	-	82	Bane		
Bates	12	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	97	100	100	Bates		
Bradley	76	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	35	75	39	Bradley		
Bronzan	31	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	-	Y	Y	n	n	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	63	67	94	Bronzan		
Brown, D	58	n	n	n	Y	n	n	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	0	4	13	Brown, D		
Brown, W	17	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	91	-	96	Brown, W		
Calderon	59	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	74	70	95	Calderon		
Campbell	11	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	-	Y	Y	N	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	95	93	100	Campbell		
Chacon	79	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	68	-	96	Chacon		
Chandler	3	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	n	N	N	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	Y	n	Y	52	75	-	Chandler	
Clute	68	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	61	-	68	Clute		
Condit	27	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	N	Y	-	n	-	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71	-	74	Condit		
Connelly	6	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	100	Connelly		
Cortese	24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	90	-	87	Cortese		
Costa	30	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	n	Y	64	38	78	Costa	
Dupplissea	20	n	n	n	Y	n	-	n	n	N	N	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	41	-	-	Dupplissea		
Eastin	18	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	96	89	-	Eastin		
Eaves	66	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	65	72	82	Eaves		
Elder	57	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	-	Y	88	-	100	Elder		
Farr	28	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	96	100	95	Farr		
Felando	51	n	n	n	Y	n	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	n	Y	22	-	30	Felando		
Ferguson	70	n	-	n	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	n	Y	9	8	33	Ferguson		
Filante	9	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	83	100	95	Filante		
Floyd	53	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	70	-	95	Floyd		
Frazee	74	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	-	n	Y	n	n	Y	n	Y	26	-	38	Frazee	
Friedman	43	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	-	-	Friedman		
Grizzle	69	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	-	Y	N	-	Y	n	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	n	Y	25	-	17	Grizzle		
Grisham	63	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	n	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	-	Y	36	-	36	Grisham		
Hannigan	4	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	96	96	95	Hannigan		
Hansen	8	n	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	61	45	-	Hansen		
Harris	13	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	96	-	100	Harris		
Harvey	33	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	Y	39	47	-	Harvey		
Hauser	2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	91	86	92	Hauser		
Hayden	44	Y	-	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	100	Hayden		
Hill	52	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	n	Y	Y	-	Y	n	Y	-	47	43	41	Hill		
Hughes	47	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	78	-	92	Hughes		
Isenberg	10	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	100	Isenberg		
Johnson	64	n	-	n	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	-	n	Y	n	n	-	20	26	16	Johnson		
Johnston	26	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	91	-	91	Johnston		
Jones	32	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	27	26	27	Jones		
Katz	39	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	n	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	86	100	96	Katz		
Kelley	73	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	Y	n	Y	26	38	30	Kelley	
Killea	78	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	78	100	90	Killea		
Klehs	14	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	-	92	Klehs		
LaFollette	38	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	n	Y	n	n	Y	26	45	29	LaFollette		
Lancaster	62	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	-	-	n	Y	n	Y	19	33	28	Lancaster		
Leonard	61	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	n	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	26	30	36	Leonard	
Leslie	5	n	Y	n	Y	-	Y	n	n	N	N	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	n	Y	n	n	n	Y	43	-	-	Leslie	
Lewis	67	n	n	n	Y	n	n	n	n	-	Y	-	n	n	Y	n	n	n	n	n	n	-	0	4	20	Lewis	
Longshore	72	n	-	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	-	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	14	-	-	Longshore	
Margolin	45	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	100	Margolin		
McClintock	36	n	n	n	Y	n	n	n	n	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	n	n	n	n	Y	4	16	32	McClintock	
Mojonnier	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Y	n	-	-	-	Y	Y	n	-	-	-	35	Mojonnier	
Moore	49	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	-	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	77	-	92	Moore		
Mountjoy	42	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	Y	n	Y	22	-	18	Mountjoy	
Nolan	41	n	n	n	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	9	-	25	Nolan	
O'Connell	35	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	100	O'Connell		
Peace	80	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	86	75	74	Peace		
Polanco	55	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	86	-	55	Polanco		
Quackenbush	22	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	n	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	Y	57	-	-	Quackenbush		
Roos	46	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	86	86	Roos		
Roybal-Allard	54	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	-	-	Roybal-Allard		
Seastrand	29	n	Y	-	Y	-	n	n	n	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	-	n	n	n	-	Y	17	15	55	Seastrand	
Sher	21	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	94	100	Sher		
Speier	19	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	-	Speier		
Statham	1	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	50	50	50	Statham	
Stirling	77	n	Y	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	Y	N	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	Y	Y	48	50	54	Stirling		
Tanner	60	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	76	75	91	Tanner		
Tucker	50	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	90	-	94			

SENATE FLOOR

Overall Senate Average: 64%

Senate Democrats' Average: 80%

Senate Republicans' Average: 39%

	7 2	6 4	4 8	6 8	6 8	6 8	6 8	4 3	4 2	5 2	6 1	6 2	6 2	9 1	9 1	9 1	9 1	9 1	7 1	1 9	1 9	1 9	
DISTRICT	SB	SB	SB	(Amendments) KERN	MCCORQUODALE	PETRIS	TORRES	SB	SB	SB	SB	SB	SB	AB	AB	AB	AB	AB	CA	FLOOR	COMM	FLOOR	
Alquist	13	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	88	80	100
Ayala	34	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	n	-	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	58	50	86
Bergeson	37	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	58	17	87
Beverly	29	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	-	47	55	87
Boatwright	7	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	-	n	Y	83	75	100
Campbell, W	31	Y	-	Y	n	n	n	n	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	47	71	-
Craven	38	Y	-	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	-	-	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	57	0	92
Davis, E	19	Y	-	Y	n	n	n	n	-	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	n	n	Y	Y	-	Y	38	-	92
Deddeh	40	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	n	Y	-	Y	-	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	56	78	100
Dills	30	Y	Y	Y	n	n	n	n	-	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	63	67	80
Doolittle	1	n	-	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	n	-	n	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	Y	n	39	75	64
Ellis	39	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	n	-	n	Y	-	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	n	-	12	-	77
Garamendi	5	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	90	67	100
Green, C	33	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	n	-	-	N	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	74	-	-
Greene, B	27	-	-	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	-	-	Y	-	82	-	100
Greene, L	6	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	89	-	100
Hart	18	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	100
Keene	2	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	88	91	100
Kopp	8	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	-	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	89	86	-
Lockyer	10	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	95	89	100
Maddy	14	-	Y	Y	n	n	n	n	-	-	Y	Y	-	Y	-	n	n	Y	-	-	23	50	87
Marks	3	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	100	100	100
McCorquodale	12	-	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	95	85	100
Mello	17	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	88	85	93
Montoya	26	-	-	Y	n	n	n	n	-	-	Y	-	Y	Y	-	-	-	-	-	Y	22	-	100
Morgan	11	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	80	-	93
Nielsen	4	Y	Y	N	n	Y	Y	Y	n	-	Y	Y	-	Y	n	n	n	Y	n	-	50	33	69
Petris	9	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	-	Y	N	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	100	-	100
Presley	36	Y	Y	Y	n	n	Y	n	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	71	78	94
Richardson	25	n	-	Y	n	n	n	n	n	-	n	-	n	n	-	-	-	-	n	-	0	0	-
Robbins	20	Y	-	-	n	n	n	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	67	-	100
Roberti	23	Y	Y	Y	-	n	Y	n	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	84	-	100
Rogers	16	n	-	Y	-	n	n	n	Y	n	-	n	n	Y	n	-	Y	Y	n	n	24	0	-
Rosenthal	22	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	95	71	100
Royce	32	n	n	Y	n	n	n	n	n	-	Y	-	n	Y	n	n	-	Y	n	Y	11	-	57
Russell	21	n	Y	Y	-	n	n	n	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	n	-	Y	n	Y	Y	33	-	62
Seymour	35	n	Y	Y	n	n	n	n	n	Y	n	-	Y	Y	n	Y	n	Y	n	-	21	75	77
Torres	24	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	94	86	100
Vuich	15	-	-	Y	n	n	n	n	-	Y	Y	-	-	n	-	-	Y	-	Y	-	42	20	94
Watson	28	Y	Y	-	-	-	Y	-	Y	Y	-	Y	-	N	Y	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	100	-	100

SENATE COMMITTEES

Appropriations	6	3	5	6	5	9	9	8	9
Alquist	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ayala	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Beverly*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Boatwright	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Campbell	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deddeh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dills	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Keene	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lockyer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maddy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Presley**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Governmental Organizations	5	8	8
Alquist	-	-	-
Beverly	-	-	-
Campbell*	-	-	-
Dills**	-	-	-
Garamendi	-	-	-
Greene, B	-	-	-
Keene	-	-	-
Lockyer	-	-	-
Maddy	-	-	-
Rosenthal	-	-	-
Torres	-	-	-

Housing and Urban Affairs	7	7
Greene, L**	-	-
Kopp	-	-
Lockyer	-	-
Petris*	-	-
Rogers	-	-
Royce	-	-
Torres	-	-

Natural Resources	2	3	5	5	5	8	7	7	7	7
Garamendi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hart	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
McCorquodale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mello	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nielson	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Presley**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Richardson*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rogers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Transportation	8	7	4	4
Bergeson	-	-	-	-
Beverly	-	-	-	-
Deddeh**	-	-	-	-
Ellis	-	-	-	-
Green, C	-	-	-	-
Hart	-	-	-	-
Kopp	-	-	-	-
McCorquodale	-	-	-	-
Morgan	-	-	-	-
Seymour	-	-	-	-
Robbins	-	-	-	-
Russell	-	-	-	-

Local Government	4	5	8	6
Ayala	-	-	-	-
Bergeson**	-	-	-	-
Craven	-	-	-	-
Kopp	-	-	-	-
Presley	-	-	-	-
Russell	-	-	-	-
Vuich*	-	-	-	-

Toxics	3	5	7	7	8
Greene, B	-	-	-	-	-
Kopp	-	-	-	-	-
Lockyer	-	-	-	-	-
Nielsen*	-	-	-	-	-
Presley	-	-	-	-	-
Rosenthal	-	-	-	-	-
Seymour	-	-	-	-	-
Torres**	-	-	-	-	-

Judiciary	8	7	1	0
Davis*	-	-	-	-
Doolittle	-	-	-	-
Keene	-	-	-	-
Lockyer**	-	-	-	-
Marks	-	-	-	-
Petris	-	-	-	-
Presley	-	-	-	-
Richardson	-	-	-	-
Roberti	-	-	-	-
Torres	-	-	-	-
Watson	-	-	-	-

Agriculture	4	4	4	7	2	7
Ayala**	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bergeson	-	-	-	-	-	-
Craven	-	-	-	-	-	-
Doolittle	-	-	-	-	-	-
Green, C	-	-	-	-	-	-
McCorquodale	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mello*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montoya	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nielsen	-	-	-	-	-	-
Presley	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rogers	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vuich	-	-	-	-	-	-


1402

Transportation	5	4
	7	2
	6	2
	A	A
	B	B
	2	9
	3	8
	4	0
Areias	Y	Y
Clute	Y	Y
Duplissee*	n	n
Eastin	Y	Y
Eaves	Y	Y
Ferguson	n	-
Frazer		
Hansen	n	Y
Harris	Y	Y
Katz	Y	Y
Killea	Y	Y
Lancaster	Y	-
Polanco	Y	Y
Wyman	n	Y

	5	5	8	7	8	7	7
Water	7	7	2	4	8	9	1
Parks and	6	8	2		8	9	1
Wildlife	8				9		8
	A	B	A	B	S	S	S
	B	B	B	B	S	S	S
	7	1	7	7	2	8	8
	2	0	0	0	4	7	6
	2				0		8
Campbell	N	Y	-	-	Y	Y	Y
Chandler	y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	n
Costa**	n	y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n
Filante	N	-	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Harvey	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n
Hausler	N	-	N	-	N	Y	Y
Isenberg	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Jones	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	n
Kelley*	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-
McClintock	y	Y	Y	Y	n	Y	n
Peace	N	-	y	Y	Y	-	n
Tanner	y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	-
Waters, N	y	-	N	Y	N	-	Y

DISTRICT MAPS

Courtesy of the SF Examiner



STATE SENATE

WHAT IS THE LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS?

The California League of Conservation Voters (CLCV) is the non-partisan campaign arm of the environmental movement in California. The League works to protect the environmental quality of our state by electing conservation-minded candidates and passing environmentally sound propositions.


League canvassers communicate directly with hundreds of thousands of Californians every year. In addition to providing information on legislators' environmental voting records, they register voters, recruit volunteers, generate letters to targeted representatives, identify "conservation voters" and get out the vote on Election Day.

CLCV also prepares radio spots for key candidates and issues, conducts electoral training sessions for environmental activists, mobilizes precinct workers and other political volunteers, and holds forums to provide the public with an opportunity to meet and talk with elected officials and candidates. CLCV has 40,000 members.

CLCV would like to thank and acknowledge the following groups and individuals for their invaluable assistance in compiling this chart: the Sierra Club, the Planning and Conservation League, Defenders of Wildlife, Californians Against Waste, the American Lung Association, Bill Yeates, and Matthew Kimble.

SAN FRANCISCO
965 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 896-5550

LOS ANGELES
12234 W. Pico Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90064
(213) 826-8812



STATE ASSEMBLY

HOW TO USE THE CHART

The voting chart, which you will find by folding out these pages, evaluates the 1987 session of the state legislature. It lists the floor and committee votes on key bills relating to the environment. The votes tabulated in the chart are considered by CLCV to be the most environmentally significant votes for each bill. In all cases, the dates of the actual votes are listed next to the bill numbers at the top of each column.

The percentages to the right of each legislator's voting record indicate the level of pro-environment votes cast. Every legislator with ten or more votes is assigned a percentage score. Legislators with five or more cumulative committee votes are given committee scores in addition to their floor scores. Abstentions and absences are not figured into the final score.

Because this method of scoring does not penalize legislators for poor attendance we urge our members to check their legislator's attendance records and ask them for an explanation if there is an unreasonable number of missing votes.

CLCV is a Federal and State political action committee. Copies of our report are filed with the Federal Election Commission in Washington, DC and with the Fair Political Practices Commission in Sacramento.

I want to protect the environment by electing politicians who care!

Enclosed is my contribution of :
\$20 \$25 \$50 \$100 \$500

I would like to volunteer in the campaigns of CLCV endorsed candidates

I need to register to vote. Please send me registration materials.

Name _____
Address: _____
City _____
State _____
Zip _____
Telephone _____

FieldRep. _____
Date _____ Precinct# _____
Amount Received \$ _____
Assembly _____
StateSenate _____
Congress _____